United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/646,637	08/22/2003	Takao Tsuruoka	IPO-P1755	9783	
3624 VOLPE AND	7590 07/20/2007 KOFNIG P.C	•	EXAMINER		
UNITED PLA	ZA, SUITE 1600	KHOKHAR, ASIF I			
30 SOUTH 17' PHILADELPH			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2609		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			07/20/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
		10/646,637	TSURUOKA, TAI	TSURUOKA, TAKAO				
			Examiner	Art Unit				
			Asif Khokhar	2609				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communi or Reply	cation appe	ars on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Externafter - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MA Insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commit period for reply is specified above, the maximum sta- re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months af- act patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DA of 37 CFR 1.136 unication. tutory period wil will, by statute, o	TE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply 1 apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAND	FION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on <i>22 Au</i>	aust 2003					
2a)□			action is non-final.					
3)	· -							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the a	pplication.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) <u></u>	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)🖂	Claim(s) 1-27 are subject to restriction	n and/or el	ection requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers							
9)	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:			he Examiner.				
•	Applicant may not request that any object	tion to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	on is required if the drawing(s) is	s objected to. See 37 C	CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exa	miner. Note the attached Of	fice Action or form P	TO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
_	Acknowledgment is made of a claim f ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	or foreign p	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the Internation							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list o	f the certified copies not rec	eived.				
Attachmen	t(s)							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	~~ ~ · · · ·	4) Interview Sumr					
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	I O-948)	5) Notice of Inform	ail Date nal Patent Application				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:								

Application/Control Number: 10/646,637 Page 2

Art Unit: 2609

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- I. Image pickup system in a first embodiment, Fig. 1
- II. Image pickup system of a second embodiment, Fig. 10.

The species are independent or distinct because the image pickup system of the second embodiment is different from image pickup system of the first embodiment. Image pickup system of the second embodiment comprises a down sampling unit 61 comprising down sampling means which thins the video signals read out form image buffer 10 at a specified interval; an interpolating unit 62 which performs universally known linear interpolation processing on the video signals down sampled by the sampling unit 61.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim, 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an

allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Asif Khokhar whose telephone number is (571) 270-3221. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday- Friday 7:30am-5pm EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Ho can be reached on 571 272 7365. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/646,637

Art Unit: 2609

Page 4

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TUAN HO
PRIMARY EXAMINER